

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/904,981  | 07/12/2001  | Feng Gao             | CHRT-99203.DIV          | 3778             |  |
| 7590 10/03/2003   |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113 |             |                      | ROSE, RO                | ROSE, ROBERT A   |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 3723                    |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003 | , <i>O</i>       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/904,981

Applicant(s)

Feng

Examiner

Robert Rose

Art Unit **3723** 



|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of  | on the cover s                            | sheet with                   | the correspondence address  |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|
| Period f  | or Reply   |   |                              |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E<br>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. |  |   | three                        | MONTH(S) FROM   |
|   | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r   | no event, however                         | , may a reply b              | e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the                          |
| - If the p<br>- If NO p<br>- Failure<br>- Any re  | date of this communication.<br>beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the<br>beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the<br>ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the<br>patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX<br>e application to be | (6) MONTHS fr<br>come ABANDO | om the mailing date of this communication.<br>DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |   |                              |   |
| 1) 💢  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 12, 20   | 001                                       |                              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                 |
| 2a) 🗌   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action  | ion is non-fin                            | ıal.                         |   |
| 3) 🗆  | Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair  |   |                              |   |
| Disposi   | tion of Claims   |   |                              |   |
| 4) 💢  | Claim(s) <u>30-39</u>  |   |                              | is/are pending in the application.                                    |
| 4   | a) Of the above, claim(s)  |   |                              | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                                  |
| 5) 🗆  | Claim(s)   |   |                              | is/are allowed.   |
| 6) 💢  | Claim(s) 30-39   |   |                              | is/are rejected.  |
| 7) 🗆  | Claim(s)   |   |                              | is/are objected to.   |
| 8) 🗆  | Claims   | a   | re subject                   | to restriction and/or election requirement.                           |
| Applica   | tion Papers  |   |                              |   |
| 9) 🗆  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                              |   |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are   | a) 🗆 accep                                | ted or b)                    | $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.                                |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d  | rawing(s) be                              | held in abe                  | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on   |   | is: a)□ a                    | pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.                     |
|   | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t  | to this Office                            | action.                      |   |
| 12)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami  | ner.                                      |                              |   |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                              |   |
| 13)   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr  | riority under                             | 35 U.S.C.                    | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |
| a) [  | ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:   |   |                              |   |
|   | 1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav  | e been recei                              | ved.                         |   |
|   | 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav  | e been recei                              | ved in App                   | dication No   |
|   | <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do<br/>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>   | au (PCT Rule                              | 17.2(a)).                    | ·   |
| *S  | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the   | e certified co                            | pies not re                  | eceived.  |
| 14)   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | priority unde                             | er 35 U.S.                   | C. § 119(e).  |
| a) L  | 3.13. 1.13   | * *                                       |                              |   |
| 15)   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | priority unde                             | er 35 U.S.(                  | C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |
| Attachm   | * *  |   |                              |   |
| _   | tice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | _   |                              | 0-413) Paper No(s)  |
|   | stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | _   | Informal Paten               | t Application (PTO-152)   |
| 3) [_] [nf  | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 6) Uther:                                 |                              |   |

Application/Control Number: 09/904981 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed July 12, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-28 have been canceled.
- 3. In a telephone interview between applicant's attorney, Mr. John P. Wagner, Jr. and Examiner Trung Dang on July 25, 2002, it was brought to the attorney's attention that claims 28-32 were method claims depending from canceled claim 22. Mr. Wagner confirmed that applicant intended to cancel all method claims leaving apparatus claims 33-39 pending. Applicant should confirm the cancelation of method claims 28-32 in his next response.
- 4. Claims 30-39 are presented for examination.
- 5. Claims 30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 30-32 depend from canceled method claim 22. In each of claims 35-39, line 1 the claims each depend ultimately from canceled claim 22. Did applicant intend these claims to depend from apparatus claim 33? Further, in claim 33, line 3 the phrase "a polishing pad overlying the polishing pad" makes no sense in light of the specification. Did applicant intend this passage to read "a polishing pad overlying the polishing platen"?
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/904981 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claims 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Evans et al (US 5897424). Evans et al disclose a polishing apparatus comprising all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claims above. Note the embodiment of Figures 4-5 showing a backup platen having a tapered peripheral surface, which causes the upper surface of the polishing pad to be tapered. Note also U-shaped and V-shaped polishing surfaces of Figures 2A and 2B.
- 8. Claims 33, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yu(US 5435772). Yu discloses a polishing apparatus comprising all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claims above. Note the embodiment of figures 3-4 of Yu which show a peripheral region characterized as "tapered" and "horizontal".
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Osterheld et al is cited of interest to show a polishing pad with grooved channels of U-shaped cross-section. Burke et al, and Allen et al are cited of interest to show polishing pads with grooved peripheral surfaces. Cote et al, Nagabushnam et al, yu et al('598), Breivogel et al, Schultz, Satou, Andideh et al, and Kim et al were cited in parent application SN 09/415364, now US Patent 6376378.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

Art Unit: 3723

rr

September 23, 2003.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323